

## Kripke Semantics II

### Inuitionistic Sequent Calculus

**Definition 1** (The Gentzen-type Sequent Calculus  $LJpm$ ). The system  $LJpm$  works with sequents  $\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta$  which contain a multi-set of formulas both in the antecedent and the succedent. The sequent  $\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta$  has the same semantical meaning as  $\bigwedge_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma \rightarrow \bigvee_{\delta \in \Delta} \delta$ . The system has the following rules:

- Axioms:  $p \Rightarrow p$  and  $\perp \Rightarrow p$  for an atom  $p$ .
- Logical inference rules:

$$\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \psi}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi \wedge \psi} \quad \frac{\varphi, \psi, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{\varphi \wedge \psi, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \varphi \Rightarrow \Delta \quad \Gamma, \psi \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, \varphi \vee \psi \Rightarrow \Delta} \quad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi, \psi}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi \vee \psi}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \varphi \rightarrow \psi \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi \quad \Gamma, \psi \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, \varphi \rightarrow \psi \Rightarrow \Delta} \quad \frac{\Gamma, \varphi \Rightarrow \psi}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi \rightarrow \psi}$$

- Structural inference rules:

$$\frac{\varphi, \varphi, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{\varphi, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta} (\text{contr}) \quad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi, \varphi}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi} \quad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{\varphi, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta} (\text{weak}) \quad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi}$$

Note that the  $\Rightarrow \rightarrow$  rule does not have  $\Delta$  in its premises. Moreover, if  $\neg$  were taken to be a separate connective, the corresponding rules become:

$$\frac{\varphi, \Gamma \Rightarrow}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \neg \varphi} \quad \frac{\neg \varphi, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi}{\neg \varphi, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

Another rule, which is not part of  $LJpm$  but we will consider it later is the cut rule:

$$\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi \quad \varphi, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

**Exercise 2.** Prove  $\Rightarrow p \wedge q \rightarrow q \wedge p$  and  $p, p \rightarrow q \Rightarrow q$  in  $LJpm$ .

**Exercise 3.** Show that every rule of  $LJpm$  has the subformula property: Each formula in the premise is a subformula of some formula in the conclusion. Every  $LJpm$ -proof consists of subformulas of its last sequent.

**Lemma 4.** For a sequent  $\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta$  the following are equivalent:

- $\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta$  is provable in  $LJpm$  with cut.
- $\Gamma \Rightarrow \bigvee_{\delta \in \Delta} \delta$  is provable in  $NJp$ .

Moreover:

1. Every introduction rule is the succedent logical rule of  $LJpm$  for the same connective.
2. Every elimination rule can be translated by the antecedent rules for the same connective plus cut.
3. Translation of every rule of  $LJpm$  is provable in  $NJp$ .

**Definition 5.** The value of a multi-succedent sequent  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \Rightarrow \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$  in a world  $w \in W$  of a model  $\langle W, R, V \rangle$  is given as:

$V(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \Rightarrow \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n, w) = 1$  iff for every  $w', R(w, w')$  we have that  $V(\alpha_1, w') = \dots = V(\alpha_m, w') = 1$  implies  $V(\beta_j, w')$  for some  $j \leq n$ .

**Exercise 6.** Show that  $LJpm$  plus cut is sound.

**Exercise 7.** A rule is invertible if the derivability of the conclusion implies the derivability of the premises. Show that all rules of  $LJpm$ , except for the succedent rules for  $\neg$  and  $\rightarrow$  are invertible.

**Exercise 8.** Show that  $\Rightarrow \neg$  and  $\Rightarrow \rightarrow$  are not invertible.

**Definition 9.** A sequent  $\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta$  is falsified in a world  $w$  of a model  $\langle W, R, V \rangle$  iff  $V(\bigwedge \Gamma, w) = 1$  and  $V(\bigvee \Delta, w) = 0$ . This implies  $V(\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, w) = 0$ .

**Exercise 10.** Find a Kripke frame with a world  $w$  such that  $V(\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, w) = 0$  but  $w$  does not falsify  $\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta$ .

## Completeness and Admissibility of Cut

**Definition 11.** In this sheet,  $\Gamma \vdash \Delta$  means that  $\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta$  is derivable in  $LJpm$ .

**Definition 12.**  $Sub(\Gamma)$  stands for the set of all subformulas of  $\Gamma$ . A sequent  $\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta$  is *complete* if it is unprovable and for any  $\varphi \in Sub(\Gamma, \Delta)$  either  $\varphi \in \Gamma \cup \Delta$  or

$$\Gamma \vdash \Delta, \varphi \text{ and } \varphi, \Gamma \vdash \Delta,$$

that is both sequents  $\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi$  and  $\varphi, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta$  are derivable.

**Definition 13.** A sequent  $\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta$  is *saturated for invertible rules* if it is undervivable and the following conditions are satisfied for any  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$ :

- $(\Rightarrow \wedge)$ :  $(\varphi \wedge \psi) \in \Delta$  implies  $\varphi \in \Delta$  or  $\psi \in \Delta$
- $(\wedge \Rightarrow)$ :  $(\varphi \wedge \psi) \in \Gamma$  implies  $\varphi \in \Gamma, \psi \in \Gamma$
- $(\Rightarrow \vee)$ :  $(\varphi \vee \psi) \in \Delta$  implies  $\varphi \in \Delta, \psi \in \Delta$
- $(\vee \Rightarrow)$ :  $(\varphi \vee \psi) \in \Gamma$  implies  $\varphi \in \Gamma$  or  $\psi \in \Gamma$
- $(\rightarrow \Rightarrow)$ :  $(\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \in \Gamma$  implies  $\varphi \in \Delta$  or  $\psi \in \Gamma$ .

**Lemma 14** (Saturation). If  $\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta$  is complete, then it is saturated for invertible rules.

**Lemma 15** (Completion). Any underivable sequent  $\Gamma_0 \Rightarrow \Delta_0$  can be extended to a complete sequent consisting of subformulas of  $\Gamma_0, \Delta_0$ .

**Definition 16.** We define the Kripke model:  $\mathbf{K} = \langle W, R_{\subseteq}, V_{\in} \rangle$ :

- $W$  is the set of all complete sequents.
- $R_{\subseteq}(\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \Gamma' \Rightarrow \Delta')$  iff  $\Gamma \subseteq \Gamma'$
- $V_{\in}(p, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta) = 1$  iff  $p \in \Gamma$

**Definition 17.** A set of sequents  $M$  is saturated for non-invertible rules if the following condition is satisfied for every  $\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta$  in  $M$ :

$(\Rightarrow \rightarrow)$ : if  $(\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \in \Delta$ , then there is a sequent  $\Gamma' \Rightarrow \Delta'$  in  $M$  such that  $\varphi, \Gamma \subseteq \Gamma'$  and  $\psi \in \Delta'$ .

A set of sequents  $M$  is saturated if it is saturated for non-invertible rules and every sequent in  $M$  is saturated for invertible rules.

**Lemma 18.** The set  $W$  of all complete sequents is saturated.

**Definition 19.** A model defined by a saturated set  $M$  is  $\mathbf{K}_M = \langle M, R_M, V_M \rangle$ , where  $R_M = R_{\subseteq}$ ,  $V_M = V_{\in}$  as above.

**Theorem 20.** Let  $M$  be a saturated set. Then for  $w \equiv \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, m \in M$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \theta \in \Gamma &\text{ implies } V_M(\theta, w) = 1 \\ \theta \in \Delta &\text{ implies } V_M(\theta, w) = 0 \\ V_M(\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, w) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

**Corollary 21.** Each sequent unprovable in  $LJpm$  is falsified in the canonical model  $\mathbf{K}$ . Hence every valid sequent is provable in  $LJpm$ .

Moreover, every unprovable sequent  $\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta$  is falsified in a finite model  $\mathbf{K}_m$ , where  $M = M_{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}$  is the set of all complete sequents consisting of subformulas of  $\Gamma, \Delta$ . The number of elements of  $M_{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}$  is bounded by  $4^s$ , where  $s$  is the number of subformulas of  $\Gamma, \Delta$ .

**Theorem 22** (Soundness and completeness). A formula is provable in  $LJpm$  iff it is valid.

Moreover, a formula is provable in  $LJpm$  iff it is valid in all finite pointed models where accessibility relation is a partial order.

**Corollary 23.** Cut rule is admissible in  $LJpm$ : If  $\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi$  and  $\varphi, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta$  are provable, then  $\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta$  is provable.

**Corollary 24.**  $LJpm$  is equivalent to  $NJp$  with respect to derivability of sequents  $\Gamma \Rightarrow \alpha$ .