# Herbrand's theorem, Student-Teacher computations and Finite axiomatizibility

### Easy witnessing for  $PV_1$

**Exercise 1.** Let T be an universal L-theory. Show that for  $M \models T$  and  $N \subseteq M$ a substructure, we have  $N \models T$ .

**Theorem 2** (Herbrand). Let T be a universal L-theory, let  $\varphi(x, y)$  an open L-formula. If

$$
T \vdash (\forall x)(\exists y)\varphi(x,y),
$$

then there exist L-terms  $t_1, \ldots, t_k$  such that

$$
T \vdash (\forall x) \bigvee_{i=1}^{k} \varphi(x, t_i(x)).
$$

Exercise 3. Prove Herbrand's theorem.

Possible proof via midsequent lemma.

**Fact 4** (PV-symbols are closed under definition by cases). Let  $f_1(x), \ldots, f_k(x)$ ,  $g_1(x), \ldots, g_k(x)$  and  $h_1(x), \ldots, h_k(x)$  be PV-symbols. Then there is a PV symbol  $f(x)$ , such that PV<sub>1</sub> proves

$$
f(x) = \begin{cases} f_1(x) & \text{if } g_1(x) = h_1(x) \\ f_2(x) & \text{else if } g_2(x) = h_2(x) \\ \vdots \\ f_k(x) & \text{else if } g_k(x) = h_k(x) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

**Exercise 5.** Show that if for  $\varphi$  open PV-formula

$$
PV_1 \vdash (\forall x)(\exists y)\varphi(x,y),
$$

then there is a PV-symbol  $f$ , such that

$$
PV_1 \vdash (\forall x) \varphi(x, f(x)).
$$

## The theories  $S_2^i$  and  $T_2^i$

The complexity classes  $\Sigma_i^p$  (respectively  $\Pi_i^p$ ) consist of decisions problems computable by alternating Turing machine in polynomial time which starts in existential (respectively universal) guessing mode and can toggle it  $(i-1)$ -many times. Notice that  $\Sigma_1^p = \mathbf{NP}$  and  $\Pi_1^p = \mathbf{coNP}$ . The class  $\mathbf{PH} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \Sigma_i^p$ , it is generally expected that  $\mathbf{PH} \neq \sum_{i=1}^{p}$  for any i, this is sometimes described as "polynomial hierarchy not collapsing".

**Definition 6.**  $L_{S_2}$ -formula  $\varphi$  is  $\Sigma_i^b$  if it is bounded and after removing all sharply bounded quantifiers the first quantifier on each path through the tree representing the formula is existential bounded and the following quantifiers change from existential to universal and back at most  $i - 1$  many times.

 $L_{S_2}$ -formula  $\varphi$  is  $\Pi_i^b$  if it satisfies the conditions for  $\Sigma_i^b$ , but instead the first quantifier is universal bounded on each path.

Exercise 7. Find an  $L_{S_2}$ -formula in  $\Sigma_0^b$ ,  $\Pi_2^b$  and one which is not in  $\Sigma_1^b \cup \Pi_1^b$ but in  $\Sigma_2^b \cap \Pi_2^b$ .

**Fact 8.** For  $i \geq 1$ :  $\Sigma_i^b(\mathbb{N}) = \Sigma_i^p$  and  $\Pi_i^b(\mathbb{N}) = \Sigma_i^p$ .

**Definition 9.** For  $i \geq 0$  we define

$$
S_2^i = BASIC + \sum_{i}^{b} - \text{PIND} = BASIC + \Pi_i^{b} - \text{PIND}
$$
  

$$
T_2^i = BASIC + \sum_{i}^{b} - \text{IND} = BASIC + \Pi_i^{b} - \text{IND},
$$

additionally 
$$
S_2 = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} S_2^i
$$
 and  $T_2 = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} T_2^i$ .

**Fact 10.** For  $i \geq 1$ :  $S_2^i \subseteq T_2^i \subseteq S_2^{i+1}$ .

**Exercise 11.** Show that  $S_2 = T_2$ .

#### Intermezzo about Sharply bounded aritmetics

Fact 12 (Takeuti, 1987).

 $S_2^0\nvdash$  "The predecessor function is total."

**Fact 13** (Jeřábek, 2006). PV<sub>1</sub> is conservative over  $T_2^0$  with the language expanded by the function

$$
MSP(x, y) = \lfloor x/2^y \rfloor,
$$

and its defining axioms.

Fact 14 (Boughattas, Kołodziejczyk, 2009).

 $T_2^0 \nvdash$  "Every nontrivial divisor of a power of 2 is even."

**Corollary 15.**  $S_2^0 \not\subseteq T_2^0$  and  $T_2^0 \not\subseteq S_2^0$ .

#### Finite axiomatizibility

**Fact 16.** For  $i \geq 1$  the theories  $S_2^i$  and  $T_2^i$  are finitely axiomatizable.

**Exercise 17.** Show that for some  $i \geq 1$  we have  $T_2^i = T_2$  if and only if  $T_2$  is finitely axiomatizable.

**Theorem 18** (KPT witnessing). Let T be a universal L-theory, let  $\varphi(x, y)$  and open $\emph{L}-$ formula. If

$$
T \vdash (\forall x)(\exists y)(\forall z)\varphi(x,y,z),
$$

then there exist L-terms  $t_1,\ldots,t_k$  such that

$$
T \vdash (\forall x) \bigvee_{i=1}^{k} \varphi(x, t_i(x, c_1, \ldots, c_{i-1}), c_i).
$$

Theorem 19 (Krajíček–Pudlák–Takeuti,1990). If

 $T_2^i \nvdash$  "Polynomial hierarchy collapses.",

then

$$
T_2^i \neq T_2.
$$